

Co-Impact Assessment Criteria for Round 3 Concept Notes

We expect to use the following criteria to assess concept notes. We anticipate that very few, if any, initiatives will score perfectly in every category; instead, we seek well-rounded initiatives that broadly demonstrate strength across the full range of criteria. Not all criteria are weighted equally.

	Category	Considerations
<i>Core Systems Change Elements</i>	1. Significance & scale of 5-year results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Articulates clear outcomes that focus on Co-Impact’s Round 3 target <u>ultimate</u> outcomes (not only intermediate outcomes) Projects impact in people’s lives that is significant, meaningful, and on a large scale (disaggregated by women/men) Demonstrates potential to unlock/catalyze even greater change in future (in targeted system and/or similar systems elsewhere)
	2. Coherent & credible systems change idea/plan	<p>Articulates a strategic and credible approach/strategy for achieving systems change, including among other considerations,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A thoughtful, sophisticated analysis of the root problems to be solved, including gender dimensions Clarity, simplicity, and importance of the core idea at the heart of the initiative A core design that responds to the problem; is technically sound and smart; focuses on a “fulcrum” or part(s) of the system that matters; and maintains a scope of effort that is right-sized and feasible given the context and organization
	3. Long-term sustainability of systems change	<p>Makes strong case that systemic change will likely endure/effort will continue after 5 years; key factors include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incentives of key stakeholders will be aligned with acceptance/furtherance of change Measures will be in place to ensure ongoing systemic adoption of the approach, e.g. policies include the approach There is a credible funding model to cover the projected scale of any ongoing costs after the 5-year grant period Non-financial resources critical to success (e.g. technical expertise, political support) will be available
	4. Evidence base	<p>Shows that the initiative’s core approach/model/idea(s) achieves people-centered outcomes; uses a credible approach, e.g.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Evidence is from credible third party (ideally both data collection and analysis) Evidence design is rigorous, and includes statistically significant results for the targeted outcome(s) Evidence is from roughly similar context and population (same region, urban/rural, etc.)
<i>Key Design Considerations</i>	5. Political economy analysis and response	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrates thoughtfulness in a) analysis of political economy and governance considerations, and b) has presented a compelling approach to respond to it Makes compelling case that prevailing governance/civic conditions enable target system and country/region to achieve results Shows approach is savvy about how to create a winning coalition powerful enough to make and sustain systems change
	6. Inclusion/Gender	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrates that gender analysis and gender equity are meaningfully reflected across all aspects of program design (problem analysis, outcomes definitions, pathways to change, measurement, etc.) Gives considerations to particular context-based discrimination such as race, ethnicity, and class Promotes and strengthens women’s representation and leadership
	7. Learning and Measurement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Articulates a thoughtful strategy for ongoing learning and adaptation, dealing with uncertainty, and continuous improvement Includes ongoing measurement along the logic chain, and explains how data is used for decision-making and by whom Understands how data can be used to track and attain results by public systems at local and national levels
<i>Organizational Characteristics</i>	8. Global South-led	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> HQ of lead organization and majority of staff is in the Global South, preferably in the targeted countries (or region) The Initiative Leader and at least 50% of the senior leadership is from Global South, preferably from targeted countries/region The anchor organization/initiative has long-term roots in the region: 10+ years of working on the initiative in target countries/region; long-term, substantial working partnerships with local actors; investment in local talent for leadership positions
	9. Women-led	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The lead organization’s CEO and ideally 50% of senior leadership are women Women represent a significant proportion of the lead organization’s board
	10. Track record & readiness of lead organization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lead organization and key partners are already achieving significant scale in related impact for people and systems Lead organization has track record of managing significant budgets Audited financial statements of lead organization are available within 6 months of end of financial year
	11. Partnerships	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lead organization is already working with one or more of the most important partners If the initiative is a partnership, the partnership has a strong history, strategic rationale, clear roles, and accountability structure Government in at least one country has already acted to support or engage with the initiative